

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-24 are currently pending. Claims 1, 7, 13, 14, and 16 are currently amended. Support for the amendments to the claims can be found throughout the specification and in the figures. In particular, support for the amendment to claims 1 and 13 can be found for example on page 2, lines 27-29, page 12, lines 19-23, and in figure 1. Support for the amendment to claims 7 and 16 can be found for example on page 3, lines 1-3 and in figure 7. Support for the amendment to claim 14 can be found, for example on page 2, lines 21-29. No new matter is added with entry of this amendment.

II. INTERVIEW

The Applicants acknowledge and thank Examiner Beisner for the telephone interview on February 2, 2007. Language to overcome the written description rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, and the arguments to overcome the obviousness rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) were discussed. The amendment as set forth below is consistent with what was discussed during the interview.

III. CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112 FIRST PARAGRAPH

Claims 1-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Examiner alleges that claims 1 and 13 as previously presented implied that all of the walls that form the channel and are adjacent to the inlet and outlet form an angle of 100°-150°. The Examiner further alleges that the specification and claims as originally filed disclose that walls (7, 7', 7", and 7''') of the body or frame forming the channel form an angle of 100°-150° with respect to the inlet and outlet. The Examiner alleges that the channel is also formed by two additional walls, a top wall and a bottom wall which are also considered walls of the channel but do not meet the previously presented claim language.

*Interview Summary OK
4/29/07 WTB*